## 

1	JORDAN ETH (CA SBN 121617)	
2	JEth@mofo.com JUDSON E. LOBDELL (CA SBN 146041)	
3	JLobdell@mofo.com ANGELA E. KLEINE (CA SBN 255643)	
4	AKleine@mofo.com MORRISON & FOERSTER LLP	
5	425 Market Street San Francisco, California 94105-2482	
6	Telephone: (415) 268-7000 Facsimile: (415) 268-7522	
7	Attorneys for Defendants SUNPOWER CORPORATION,	
8	THOMAS H. WERNER, DENNIS V. ARRIOLA, EMMANUEL T. HERNANDEZ, JOHN B. RODMAN, T.J. RODGERS, W. STEVE ALBRECHT, BETSY S. ATKINS,	
9	PATRICK WOOD, III, and UWE-ERNST BUFE	
10		
11	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
12	NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
13	SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION	
14		
15		Case No. CV 09-5473-RS
16	IN RE SUNPOWER SECURITIES LITIGATION	(Consolidated)
17		CLASS ACTION
18 19		[PROPOSED] ORDER GRANTING SUNPOWER DEFENDANTS' MOTION TO DISMISS PLAINTIFFS'
20		FIRST AMENDED CONSOLIDATED COMPLAINT
21		Judge: Hon. Richard Seeborg
22		Courtroom: 3 Hearing Date: August 11, 2011 Hearing Times 1, 20 p. m.
23		Hearing Time: 1:30 p.m.
24		
25		
26		
27		
28		
	[Proposed] Order Granting The SunPower Defendants' MTD Plaintiffs' FAC Case No. CV 09-5473-RS sf-2995487	

1 [PROPOSED] ORDER 2 SunPower Corporation, Thomas H. Werner, Dennis V. Arriola, Emmanuel T. Hernandez, 3 John B. Rodman, T.J. Rodgers, W. Steve Albrecht, Betsy S. Atkins, Patrick Wood, III, and Uwe-4 Ernst Bufe (collectively, the "SunPower Defendants") have moved the Court, pursuant to Federal 5 Rules of Civil Procedure 8, 9(b), 12(b)(1), and 12(b)(6), and the Private Securities Litigation Reform Act of 1995, Pub. L. No. 104-67, 109 Stat. 737 (Dec. 22, 1995), codified in relevant part 6 7 at 15 U.S.C. § 78u-4 et seq., to dismiss Plaintiffs' First Amended Consolidated Complaint for 8 Violations of the Federal Securities Laws (the "FAC") filed on April 18, 2011, by Lead Plaintiffs 9 Arkansas Teacher Retirement System, Första-AP Fonden, and Danske Invest Management A/S. 10 This matter came on for hearing on August 11, 2011, at 1:30 p.m. Having considered all 11 the papers filed by the parties in connection with the SunPower Defendants' Motion to Dismiss, 12 the parties' arguments at hearing on this matter, other matters of which the Court may properly 13 take judicial notice, and being fully advised, and good cause appearing: 14 It is hereby ordered that: 15 FOR GOOD CAUSE SHOWN, the FAC is dismissed with prejudice. 16 17 IT IS SO ORDERED this \_\_\_\_\_ day of \_\_\_\_\_, 2011. 18 19 HONORABLE RICHARD SEEBORG 20 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT JUDGE 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28